



Epidemiology & Prognostication of Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) in India – A Brief Review

E. Suguna^{a†}, Chitrlekha Saikumar^{b‡} and Florida Tilton^{c#}

^a Genomic Research Centre, Sree Balaji Medical College & Hospital (SBMCH) BIHER, Chromepet, Chennai, Tamilnadu 600044, India.

^b Department of Microbiology, Sree Balaji Medical College & Hospital (SBMCH) BIHER, Chromepet, Chennai, Tamilnadu 600044, India.

^c Molecular Diagnostics, Sree Balaji Medical College & Hospital (SBMCH) BIHER, Biozone Research Technologies Private Limited, Chennai, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i59B34423

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77214>

Review Article

Received 08 October 2021
Accepted 17 December 2021
Published 18 December 2021

ABSTRACT

AML is represented by aggregation of $\geq 20\%$ myeloid immature cells in the spongy marrow and most generally raise in the peripheral blood. A cytogenetic finding plays a vital role in the risk management and stratification of AML patients. AML is genetically and functionally a heterogenous malignant disease. In the western world leukemia is one of the most common among all cancers. India ranked 3rd in cancer disease after US and China. Management of AML is challenging specially for medium and low-income countries as it causes a huge economic burden to the patient and family. Molecular prognostic biomarkers will help in redefining the risk stratification more efficiently. Targeted drugs in pre-clinical and clinical trial recorded to have promising outcomes in AML. In this review we summarize the prevalence, incidence, and prognostication of AML.

Keywords: *Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML); prognostication; cytogenetic risk stratification; clinical features; risk factor.*

[†]Research Scholar / Research Assistant;

[‡]HOD & Professor;

[#]Chief Scientist, Managing Director;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sugunaelumalai84@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) is a clonal disorder of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells defined by complex aberrant karyotype due to genetic mutations [1] and epigenetic dysregulation leading to somatic alterations in coding region of genes or in enhancer elements [2]. AML is otherwise known as acute myeloid leukemia, acute granulocytic leukemia, acute myeloblastic leukemia or acute nonlymphocytic leukemia [3]. AML emanates from the aggregation (through inherited genetic variation, environmental effects, sheer random chance, or some mixture of these) of specific translocations, mutation, and other genetic alterations [4]. The clinic-biological classification of AML includes-morphological, immunophenotypic, cytogenetic and molecular characterization of the leukemia blast cells.

Advanced research in cytogenetics helps us to know the morphology and clinical heterogeneity of AML. On the basis of karyotype, AML has been subdivided into favorable (16% of cases) consisting of *inv(16)*, *t(8;21)*, *t(15;17)*, and *t(16;16)*; intermediate (20% of patients) includes abnormalities which does not come either in favorable or unfavorable karyotypes, and unfavorable (13% of cases) includes *add(5q)*, *add(7q)*, *del(5q)*, *del(7q)*, *inv(3)*, *t(3;3)*, *t(6;11)*, *t(9;22)*, *t(10;11)*, 17p deformities, monosomies 5 or 7, monosomy 17 etc. [5]. Molecular genetic investigation of repeated translocations and inversions of chromosomes lead to duplicate genes adjacent to chromosome breakpoint and also to indicate their protein products involved in the leukemogenesis process [6]. The prediction of AML is linked with many determinant; like age, physical status, and presence of an existing disease, subtypes of disease, karyotype, specific gene variation, determining the response to chemotherapy and survival outcome [7,8]. The necessity of AML classification and genetic testing during diagnosis is to risk stratify patients and also to determine appropriate treatment modalities.

2. EPIDEMIOLOGY OF AML

In 2001, a worldwide epidemiological study estimated that about 9 million new cancer cases are determined every year and over 4.5 million people died from cancer each year in the world [9]. In 2015 the USA prevalence estimated

to be 20,830 new cases of AML (male-12,730 and female-8,100) and an estimated death rate of 10,460 (male-6,110 and female-4,350) [10].

World Health Organization (WHO) reports showed that India has the third highest number of cancer patients in the world after US and China. Among the top 20 cancers affecting the Indian population, leukemia was rated at nine in 2012 by GLOBOCAN. The estimated prevalence was 32,000 (both men and women) in the country during that period and the death rate was 26,000. Even though India stands 3rd in cancer disease, AML data on prevalence and incidence are sparse. GLOBOCAN estimated the worldwide total leukemia incidence of AML for 2012 to be 351,965 with a 5-year prevalence of 1.5% and an M: F ratio of 1.4. Incidence rate of AML in India is 3-5 cases/1 lacs. AML accounts for about 15% - 20% of acute leukemia in children and adolescent & 80% in adult. Incidence of AML rises rapidly after age of 60 median age at diagnosis is 67 years [11].

A population based study done in different districts of Haryana stated that 51% suffered from acute and 49% from chronic form of AML during 2008-2012 [12]. A two year (July 2012 – 2014) prospective study in Christian Medical College, Vellore, southern India reported that there were 380 newly diagnosed AML patients where the median age was 40 years. It was 12.3% in ≤ 15 years of age and 16.3% was ≥ 60 year old [13]. A retrospective (1 year 6 month) and prospective (2 year 7 month) observational studies were done in Hyderabad from October 2007 to December 2011. About 103 children were reported with ALL of which 73 (70.87%) were boys and 30 (29.13%) girls with a mean (\pm SD) age of 4.36 (\pm 2.66) years, and median of 4 years. Minimum age was 0.4 years and maximum age was 13 years [14]. Arora and Arora in a review summarised the present outcomes of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and AML from India [15]. One population-based and the other hospital based studies were included in the review covering time periods being from 1985-2011 for ALL and 1990-2014 for AML. During this time period, together there were 3761 children with ALL and 336 children with AML. Indian studies of both ALL & AML are exhibited in Tables 1 & 2 respectively.

Table 1. Studies on ALL from India

S.No	Area	Time period	Number of cases	Age (years)
1	Christian Medical College (CMC), Vellore, southern India [16]	1985-2003	307	Median 6
2	Tata Memorial Hospital (THM), Mumbai, western India [17]	1990-1997	652	Median 7.2
3	All India Institute of Medical Science (AIIMS), New Delhi, northern India [17]	1990-1997	228	Median 7.6
4	Madras metropolitan tumor registry, Chennai, southern India [11]	1990-2001	351	0-14
5	Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research(PGIMER), Chandigarh, northern India [18]	1990-2006	762	Median 5
6	Rajiv Gandhi Cancer Institute, Delhi, northern India [19]	1996-2009	212	Median 6
7	Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Cancer Research Institute (NSCBCRI), Kolkata, eastern India [20]	2004-2011	500	Median 10
8	Cancer Institute, Chennai, southern India [21]	2005-2011	238	Median 10

Table 2. Studies on AML from India

S.No	Area	Time period	Number of cases	Age (years)
1	Madras metropolitan tumor registry, Chennai, southern India [11]	1990-2001	60	0-14
2	All India Institute of Medical Science (AIIMS), New Delhi, northern India [22]	2000-2011	130	8-18
3	All India Institute of Medical Science (AIIMS), New Delhi, northern India [23]	2005-2009	35	1-18
4	Sri Ganga Ram Hospital (SGRM), northern India [24]	2005-2010	23	Not specified
5	Cancer Institute, Chennai, southern India [25]	2008-2011	65	1-17
6	Christian Medical College (CMC), Vellore, southern India [26]	2012-2014	23	<15

3. RISK FACTOR FOR DEVELOPMENT OF AML

- **Older Age Group:** The risk of developing AML will increase from around 60 years older and above age group. It is most commonly occurring in male, when compare to female. The male and female ratio is 11:9 [27].
- **Environmental Risk Factors:** Chemicals exposure- e.g. -Benzenes, insecticides and Hydrocarbons. Ionizing radiation – e.g. –X-rays, CT scan [28].
- **Lifestyle Risk Factors:** Smoking - There is benzene in cigarette smoke will increase the risk of leukemia [28].
- **Familial Risk Factors or Genetic Disorders:** Down syndrome, Bloom syndrome, Fanconi anemia, Ataxia telengectesia, Klinefelter syndrome, Blackfan-Diamond syndrome and Neurofibromatosis I [29].
- **Pre-existing Hematologic Disorder:** Myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), Aplastic anemia [29].

- **Chemotherapy or Treatment Associated Risk Factor:** Alkylating agents (deletion chromosome 5 or 7) e.g. - cyclophosphamide. Topoisomerase 2 inhibitor (changes in chromosome long arm 11) e.g. mitoxantrone, etoposide [30].
- **Auto Immune Disorders Risk Factor:** Rheumatoid arthritis, Auto immune haemolytic anaemia and Ulcerative colitis [28].

4. CLINICAL FEATURES OF AML

Patient with AML usually present with fever, weakness, bleeding tendency (from nose, mouth and rectum etc.) body pain, cough, weight loss, abdominal pain, pallor, hepatosplenomegaly, lymphadenopathy and bone marrow failure that causes symptoms of anemia, bleeding from thrombocytopenia, neutropenic infection. Leukocytosis and hyper viscosity causing organ dysfunction usually to occur with blast cell count > 100000 /ul. Rare but striking manifestation of AML includes Sweet syndrome [31,32].

5. PROGNOSTICATION OF AML

5.1 Prognostic Factor

It mainly relay on both clinical and laboratory based morphology.

- **Clinical**–based on Age, Secondary AML like Myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) and Therapy related AML. Patient performance status, extra medullary disease and co-morbidity condition of patients [33].
- **Laboratory based** –Investigation like **White Blood Count (WBC)** > 20000/ μ l at presentation, cytogenetics, molecular genetic deformity, multidrug resistance and CD34 +ve blast [33].

5.2 Prognostic Significance of AML

The prediction of AML is combined with many determinant; including age, physical status, and presence of an existing disease, subtypes of disease, karyotype, certain gene variations and determining the response to chemotherapy and survival outcome [34]. Genetic marker, *NPM1* gene, is of great significance in copious tumor-combined chromosomal translocations, such as +8, +4, del (9q) [35]. *NPM1* is an

especially showed phosphoprotein and constantly shuttles between the nucleus and cytoplasm [36]. More freshly, *NPM1* exon 12 variations have been recorded to be ramified in leukemogenesis, and detected in ~35% of AML cases [37].

In long-term observation of AML patient it is seen that there is favorable prognostic outcome of patients with biallelic *CEBPA* mutations when compared to monoallele *CEBPA*-mutated CN-AML [38]. Overall survival rate of CN-AML *FLT3-ITD* is shorter when compared with CN-AML wild type *FLT3* [39].

Core-binding factor leukemias (*RUNX1-RUNX1T1* and *CBFB-myosin11 (MYH11)*–positive AML) are associated with a favorable prognosis expect when corresponds with *KIT* proto-oncogene receptor tyrosine kinases (*KIT*) mutations which makes a very unfavorable prognosis [40]. The *RUNX1* acts as a primary regulator of hematopoiesis through the regulation of assorted hematopoietic genes, counting those coding for growth factors- Granulocyte-macrophage colony- stimulating factor (GM-CSF), myeloperoxidase (MPO), interleukin 3 (IL3), surface receptors-T-cell receptor alpha and beta chain (TCRA, TCRB,) signalling molecules cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor1A (CDKN1A), BLK proto-oncogene Src family tyrosine kinases (BLK), B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL2), and transcription activators- signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), V- Myb Avian Myeloblastosis Viral oncogene homolog (MYB). Thus, *RUNX1*-regulated target genes are crucial for definite hematopoiesis of all lineages [41]. The favorable and unfavorable prognosis markers of the AML is in the Table 3 [42].

5.3 Cytogenetic Risk Stratification of AML

Currently WHO classification uses a variety of risk stratification factors - good, intermediate, and unfavourable-risk prognosis (Table 4). In general, good prognosis is linked with high term five years survival (LTFY) of up to 65%, intermediate prognosis is combined with LTFY of about 25%, and unfavourable -risk disease is linked with LTFY of less than 10% [43]. AML risk stratification is resolved not only by cytogenetic abnormalities and additionally clarification of certain molecular variations leading to over or below expressions of one or more mitotic or poorly differentiating proteins [44].

Table 3. The favorable and unfavorable prognostics markers of the aml

Markers with favorable prognosis	Markers with Unfavorable prognosis
<i>NPM1</i> mutation <i>CEBPA</i> mutation	<i>FLT3</i> mutation <i>MLL-PTD</i> <i>BAALC</i> over expression <i>ERG</i> over expression <i>EVI1</i> over expression <i>IDH1</i> mutation <i>IDH2</i> mutation <i>ABCG2</i> over expression
<i>MLL-PTD</i> - Mixed Lineage Leukemia-Partial Tandem Duplications, <i>BAALC</i> – Brain and Acute Myeloid Leukemia, Cytoplasmic gene, <i>ERG</i> – Erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog, <i>EVI1</i> – Ecotropic virus integration-1 gene, <i>IDH1</i> – Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1, <i>IDH2</i> - Isocitrate dehydrogenase 2, <i>ABCG2</i> – ATP-binding cassette, subfamily G, member 2.	

Table 4. Cytogenetic risk stratification of AML

S.No	Risk factor	Cytogenetic	Molecular
1	Favorable risk	inv(16), t(16;16), t(8;21), t(15;17) [45]	Normal cytogenetics with: Isolated biallelic <i>CEBPA</i> mutation <i>NPM1</i> mutation without <i>FLT3</i> ITD [46]
2	Intermediate risk	Normal cytogenetics, +8, t (9;11); other chromosomal abnormalities [47].	<i>KIT</i> mutation in core binding factor leukemia: inv (16) or t(16;16) t (8;21) [48]
3	Unfavorable risk	-5, 5q-, -7, 7q-, 11q23 other than t(9;11), inv(3), t(3;3), t(6;9),t(9;22), complex findings (≥3 clonal chromosomal abnormalities) monosomal karyotype with ≥ 2 monosomy or one monosomy & ≥ 1 additional structural abnormalities [49,50].	Normal cytogenetics with: <i>FLT3</i> ITD [51].

6. CONCLUSION

AML is inherently and effectively a complex cancerous disease and therefore managing cases of AML is quite challenging. Classification of AML is very important for proper diagnosis and treatment. Two staging systems namely FAB based on morphology and WHO classification regarding chromosome translocation and evidence of dysplasia are very common. WHO has also classified AML into specific cytogenetic and molecular genetics sub-groups. According to NCCN (National Comprehensive Cancer Network) guidelines version 2.2014, AML with frequent translocation (t(8;21)(q22;q22), inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22) and t(15:17)(q22;q12)) are included in better risk group. Many AML cases show no abnormality on

karyotyping, so molecular characterization of CN-AML has become critical diagnostic tool in the management of AML patients. Molecular prognostic biomarker in such clinical situations helps in redefining the risk stratification more efficiently, including targeted therapies.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Stolzel F, Mohr B, Kramer M, Oelschlägel U, Bochtler T, Berdel WE et al. Karyotype complexity and prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood Cancer Journal*. 2016; 6:e386.
2. Bas J.Wouters, Ruud Delwel. Epigenetics and approaches to targeted epigenetic therapy in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2016;127(1):42-52.
3. Sharma S.K,Gupta S, Seth T,Mishra P, Mahapatra M, Singh MK et al. Leukemia cutis: an unusual presentation. *Indian J Hematol Blood Transfus*. 2012;28(3):175-7.
4. National library of medicine [internet]. Adult Acute myeloid leukemia. *PubMed Health*; 2016. [Cited 2016 Jan 15]. Available:<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.
5. Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, Walker H, Chatters S, Goldstone AH et al. National Cancer Research Institute Adult Leukaemia Working Group. Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: Determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. *Blood*. 2010;116(3):354-65.
6. Leukaemia section: Classification of acute myeloid leukemias [internet]. *Atlas of Genetics and Cytogenetics in Oncology and Hematology*. Georges Flandrine, Laboratoire d' Hématologie, Hôpital Necker-EnfantsMalades, Paris, France; 2002 [cited 2016]. Available:[http:// Atlas Genetics Oncology.org/Anomalies/ClassifAMLID 1238.html](http://AtlasGeneticsOncology.org/Anomalies/ClassifAMLID1238.html)
DOI: 10.4267/2042/37875
7. Rubnitz JE, Gibson B and Smith FO. Acute myeloid leukemia. *HematolOncolClin. North Am*. 2010;24(1):35-63.
8. Harrison CJ, Hills RK, Moorman AV, Grimwade DJ, Hann I, Webb DK et al. Cytogenetics of childhood acute myeloid leukemia: United Kingdom Medical Research Council Treatment trials AML 10 and 12. *J ClinOncol*. 2010;28(16):2674-2681.
9. Kidwai memorial institute of oncology [Internet]. Department of epidemiology and biostatistics, (hospital based cancer registry). Available:<http://www.kidwai.kar.nic.in/statistics.htm>
10. National Cancer Institute [Internet]. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program Research Data, SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML); [Cited 2009-2012]. National cancer institute. Available:<http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/amyl.html>
11. Swaminathan R, Rama R, Shanta V. Childhood cancers in Chennai, India, 1990-2001:Incidence and survival. *Int J Cancer*. 2008; 122:2607-11.
12. Radha rathee, minakshivashist, ashokkumar, sunitasingh. Incidence of acute and chronic forms of leukemia in haryana. *Int J Pharm Pharm Sci*. 2014; 6(2): 323-325.
13. Chepsy Philip, Biju George, Abhijeet Ganapule, Anu Korula, Punit Jain, Ansu Abu Alex et al. Acute myeloid leukaemia: Challenges and real world data from India. *British Journal of Haematology*. 2015; 170:110–117.
14. Sirisha Rani Siddaiahgari, Awaghad MA, Latha MS. Clinical, immunophenotype and cytogenetic profile of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children at tertiary health care center in India. *Muller Journal of Medical Sciences and Research*. 2015;6(2):112-118.
15. Ramandeep Singh Arora, Brijesh Arora. Acute leukemia in children: A review in current Indian data. *South Asian Journal of Cancer*. 2016;5(3):155-160.
16. Bajel A, George B, Mathews V, Viswabandya A, Kavitha ML, Srivastava A, et al. Treatment of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in India using a BFM protocol. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008;51:621-5.
17. Magrath I, Shanta V, Advani S, Adde M, Arya LS, Banavali S, et al. Treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in countries with limited resources; lessons from use of a single protocol in India over a twentyyear period. *Eur J Cancer*. 2005;41:1570-83.
18. Kulkarni KP, Marwaha RK, Trehan A, Bansal D. Survival outcome in childhood ALL: Experience from a tertiary care centre in North India. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009;53:168-73.
19. Gupta A, Kapoor G, Jain S, Bajpai R. Absolute lymphocyte count recovery

- independently predicts outcome in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Experience from a tertiary care cancer center of a developing country. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2015;37:e143-9.
20. Mukhopadhyay A, Gangopadhyay S, Dasgupta S, Paul S, Mukhopadhyay S, Ray UK. Surveillance and expected outcome of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children and adolescents: An experience from Eastern India. *Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol.* 2013;34:280-2.
 21. Radhakrishnan V, Gupta S, Ganesan P, Rajendranath R, Ganesan TS, Rajalekshmy KR, et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A single center experience with Berlin, Frankfurt, and Munster-95 protocol. *Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol.* 2015;36:261-4.
 22. Bahl A, Sharma A, Raina V, Kumar L, Bakhshi S, Gupta R, et al. Long-term outcomes for patients with acute myeloid leukemia: A single-center experience from AIIMS, India. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol.* 2015;11:242-52.
 23. Gupta N, Seth T, Mishra P, Mahapatra M, Rathi S, Kapoor R, et al. Treatment of acute myeloid leukemia in children: Experience from a tertiary care hematology centre in India. *Indian J Pediatr.* 2011;78:1211-5.
 24. Yadav SP, Ramzan M, Lall M, Sachdeva A. Pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: Final frontier for pediatric oncologists in developing world. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2011;28:647-8.
 25. Radhakrishnan V, Thampy C, Ganesan P, Rajendranath R, Ganesan TS, Rajalekshmy KR, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia in children: Experience from tertiary cancer centre in India. *Indian J Hematol Blood Transfus;* 2015. DOI: 10.1007/s12288-015-0591-5
 26. Philip C, George B, Ganapule A, Korula A, Jain P, Alex AA, et al. Acute myeloid leukaemia: Challenges and real world data from India. *Br J Haematol.* 2015; 170:110-7.
 27. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2016;66(1):7-30.
 28. Goldin LR, Kristinsson SY, Liang XS, Derolf AR, Landgren O, Björkholm M. Familial aggregation of acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(2):179-183.
 29. De Kouchkovsky I, Abdul-Hay M. Acute myeloid leukemia: A comprehensive review and 2016 update. *Blood Cancer J.* 2016;6(7):e441.
 30. Ezoë S. Secondary leukemia associated with the anti-cancer agent, etoposide, a topoisomerase II inhibitor. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2012;9(7):2444-2453
 31. Chang F, Shamsi TS, Waryah AM. Clinical and Hematological Profile of Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) Patients of Sindh. *J Hematol Thrombo Dis.* 2016;4:239.
 32. Braham-Jmili N, Sendi-Senana H, Labiadh S, Ben Abdelali R, Ben Abdelaziz A, Khelif A, Saad A, Kortas M. Haematological characteristics, FAB and WHO classification of 153 cases of myeloid acute leukaemia in Tunisia] *Annales de Biologie Clinique.* 2006 Sep-Oct;64(5):457-465.
 33. JE, Gibson B and Smith FO. Acute myeloid leukemia. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2010;24:35-63.
 34. Harrison CJ, Hills RK, Moorman AV, et al. Cytogenetics of childhood acute myeloid leukemia: United Kingdom Medical Research Council Treatment trials AML 10 and 12. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:2674-2681.
 35. Grimwade D. The clinical significance of cytogenetic abnormalities in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol.* 2001;14:497-529.
 36. Naoe T, Suzuki T, Kiyoi H and Urano T. Nucleophosmin: A versatile molecule associated with hematological malignancies. *Cancer Sci.* 2006;97:963-969.
 37. Chan WY, Liu QR, Borjigin J, et al. Characterization of the cDNA encoding human nucleophosmin and studies of its role in normal and abnormal growth. *Biochemistry.* 1989;28:1033-1039.
 38. Friederike Pastore, Daniela Kling, Eva Hoster, Annika Dufour, Nikola P Konstandin. Long-term follow-up of cytogenetically normal CEBPA-mutated AML. *Journal of Hematology & Oncology* 2014;7:55.
 39. Harshabad Singh, Salma Asali, and Eyal C Attar. Outcome of older adults with cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML) and FLT3 mutations. *Leuk Res.* 2011;35:1611-1615.
 40. Breitenbuecher F, Schnittger S, Grundler R, et al. Identification of a novel type of ITD mutations located in nonjuxtamembrane

- domains of the FLT3 tyrosine kinase receptor. *Blood*. 2009;113:4074-4077.
41. Burnett AK, Hills RK, Green C, et al. The impact on outcome of the addition of all-trans retinoic acid to intensive chemotherapy in younger patients with non acute promyelocytic acute myeloid leukemia: Overall results and results in genotypic subgroups defined by mutations in NPM1, FLT3, and CEBPA. *Blood*. 2010;115:948-956.
 42. Tara Lin, Douglas Smith B. Prognostic ally important molecular markers in cytogenetically normal AML. *Am J Med Sci*. 2011;341:404-408.
 43. Döhner H, Estey EH, Amadori S, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert panel, on behalf of the European Leukemia Net. *Blood*. 2010;115:453-74.
 44. Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, et al. National Cancer Research Institute Adult Leukaemia Working Group. Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. *Blood*. 2010;116:354-65.
 45. Ding ZX, Shen HJ, Miao JC, et al. C-kit, NPM1 and FLT3 gene mutation patterns and their prognostic significance in 656 Chinese patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *ZhonghuaXue Ye XueZaZhi*. 2012;33:829-34.
 46. Balatzenko G, Spassov B, Stoyanov N, et al. NPM1 gene type "A" mutation in Bulgarian adults with acute myeloid leukemia: a single institution study. *Turkish Journal of Hematology*; 2013.
 47. Li WY, Sun AN, Wu DP. Analysis of c-kit and JAK2 gene mutations in t(8;21) acute myeloid leukemia. *ZhonghuaXue Ye XueZaZhi*. 2008;29:797-801.
 48. Paschka P, Marcucci G, Ruppert AS, et al. Cancer and Leukemia Group B. Adverse prognostic significance of KIT mutations in adult acute myeloid leukemia with inv(16) and t(8;21): a Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24:3904-11.
 49. Döhner H, Gaidzik VI. Impact of genetic features on treatment decisions in AML. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2011;2011:36-42.
 50. Breems DA, Van Putten WL, De Greef GE, et al. Monosomal karyotype in acute myeloid leukemia: A better indicator of poor prognosis than a complex karyotype. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26:4791-7.
 51. Estey EH. Acute myeloid leukemia: 2013 update on, risk-stratification and management. *Am J Hematol*. 2013;88:318-27.

© 2021 Suguna et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77214>