



Estimation of Heterosis for Plant Growth, Oil Content and Yield Related Traits in Indian Mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss] Using Half Diallel

Anuj Gupta ^{a++}, Sugandh Chauhan ^{a++}, Sunil Dutt Tyagi ^{a#}
and Satpal Singh ^{b†*}

^a Kisan (P.G.) College, Simbhaoli, Hapur, Uttar Pradesh, 245207, India.

^b KVK, Badaun-II, SVPUA&T, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, 250110, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i95001>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123096>

Original Research Article

Received: 08/07/2024

Accepted: 11/09/2024

Published: 14/09/2024

ABSTRACT

Genetic investigation was carried out diallel design for heterosis analysis studies the experimental material comprised with eight parents with two checks was carried out to identify high heterotic crosses and their relationship in Indian mustard. Several hybrids exhibited heterobeltiosis and

⁺⁺ Ph. D. Scholar;

[#] Associate Professor;

[†] SMS;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: satpal.singh1794@gmail.com;

Cite as: Gupta, Anuj, Sugandh Chauhan, Sunil Dutt Tyagi, and Satpal Singh. 2024. "Estimation of Heterosis for Plant Growth, Oil Content and Yield Related Traits in Indian Mustard [*Brassica Juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss] Using Half Diallel". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 36 (9):525-35. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i95001>.

standard heterosis for seed yield per plant and other characters. The heterosis analysis revealed substantial hybrid vigor across 28 hybrid crosses, with noteworthy improvements in traits such as earlier flowering, earlier maturity, and increased seed weight. The seed yield per plant exhibited better parent heterosis ranging from -20.71% to 16.28%, with five crosses yielding more seeds than their better parents. P3 (PM-22) X P8 (RH-749) was the best cross, with a 16.28% increase. Standard heterosis ranged from -38.72% to 35.14%, with ten crosses showing significant positive heterosis. The findings from this investigation provide crucial insights into the genetic performance and breeding potential of Indian mustard genotypes. The identified parental lines and cross combinations with desirable heterosis effects offer valuable resources for breeding programs aimed at developing high-yielding and resilient mustard varieties, contributing to the advancement of agricultural practices and crop improvement. The above best parent and best crosses can be used in hybridization and heterosis breeding respectively.

Keywords: *Brassica juncea*; diallel analysis; heterobeltiosis; standard heterosis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Oilseeds hold significant importance both in India and globally, as evidenced by the impact of the Yellow Revolution. Key rapeseed-mustard producing nations include Canada, China, Germany, and France. This group of crops ranks as the third most crucial oilseed crop, following soybean and groundnut, contributing approximately 20-25% of India's total oilseed production [1]. Despite substantial growth in oilseed brassica production in India, productivity remains low due to extensive rainfed farming, various biotic and abiotic stresses, and resource constraints [2,3]. Brassica crops are highly diverse and second most important source of edible oil in India. Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss.] is predominantly cultivated and accounts more than 70% of the area under the rapeseed-mustard crops. The area, production and productivity of rapeseed-mustard in India are 6.0 million hectares and 7.98 million tonnes and 1324.0 kg/ha, respectively [4]. India holds third position in area and production after Canada and China [3]. In India, Rajasthan is the largest producer of rapeseed-mustard followed by Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal, Gujarat and Assam. It is mostly grown in Alwar, Bharatpur, Sri Ganganagar, Kota, Bikaner and Jaipur districts of Rajasthan. Indian mustard is a natural amphidiploid, derived from cross of *B. rapa* and *B. nigra* [2]. Seed oil ranges from 38–40% which is a cooking and frying medium throughout the north India [5]. They are next to cereals in production of agricultural commodities in India. Seed quality, Seed yield and other yield related parameters of Brassica oil seed crop has been tried to improve by several Researchers [6-9]. Heterosis is a highly effective method for enhancing crop varieties, characterized by

increased vigor, size, fruitfulness, growth rate, and resistance to diseases, pests, and climatic challenges in cross-bred organisms compared to their inbred counterparts (Shull, [10], Kumar et al., [11]). The development of hybrid cultivars has seen success across many Brassica species [12]. To study the inheritance of quantitative traits and evaluate various breeding strategies, a thorough understanding of combining ability is crucial [13]. Heterosis has been extensively utilized in rapeseed breeding (Wang et al., [14], Xie et al., [15]). with reliable prediction techniques significantly accelerating crossbreeding efforts and reducing the costs of large-scale field evaluations. The goal of hybrid seed production is to boost yield and enhance oil quality in oilseed crops. In light of the current status of rapeseed in India, this study aims to evaluate a) the mean performance of parental genotypes and their F1 hybrids, and b) heterosis in F1 hybrids.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Breeding material was procured from SVPUA&T, Meerut, (UP) consisted of eight varieties of Indian mustard namely, Rohini, Vardan, PM-22, Pusa Tarak, PM-26, RLM-619, CS-54, and RH-749 along with two checks viz., GM-2 and Vardan. These varieties of Indian mustard were subjected to diallel fashion mating design (excluding reciprocals) during Rabi 2021-22.

The experimental material comprising of 38 treatments viz., (8 parents + 28 F1's and 2 checks) was evaluated in RBD with three replications during Rabi 2022-2. Each parent and F1's planted in one row of 5m long 45cm apart; plant to plant distance was maintained 15cm by thinning. All the recommended agronomic practices were adopted for raising a healthy crop.

Uniform cultural practices, as recommended, were applied throughout the growing season to all genotypes to minimize field variations and environmental influences.

2.1 Traits Measurement and Statistical Analysis

Data were collected on 10 randomly selected plants from the central two rows on a single plant basis for plant height and yield traits, including main raceme length, 1000-seed weight, and seed yield per plant. All recorded data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test the null hypothesis of no differences between parental means and their F1 populations [16]. Upon finding significant differences among the parental genotypes and their F1 hybrids for various traits, the data were further analysed for Heterosis expressed as per cent increase or decrease in F1 over its (mid-parent, better-parent, and commercial) value in the desirable direction was estimated for various traits. Data were subjected to combining ability analysis as per Griffing [17] and according to Matzinger et al. [18].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The estimation of heterosis using different diallel crosses reveals various significant interactions across multiple traits, including days to flowering (DF), days to maturity (DM), and thousand seed weight (TSW). For Days to 50 per cent flowering (DF), Better parent heterosis or heterobeltiosis ranged from -12.52 percent (P6 X P7) to -2.91 percent (P6 X P8). Out of twenty-eight crosses, nineteen crosses showed negative and significant desirable heterosis for days to 50% flowering. The best five crosses were P6 X P7 (-12.52), P2 X P7 (-11.46), P1 X P7 (-10.34), P4 X P6 (-9.74) and P2 X P4 (-9.10). Similarly for days to maturity (DM), heterobeltiosis ranged from -17.52 percent (P4 X P6) to 3.52 percent (P1 X P7). Out of twenty-eight crosses, twenty-two crosses showed negative and significant desirable heterosis for days to maturity. Standard heterosis ranged from -25.37 percent (P3 X P4) to 6.91 percent (P1 X P6). Out of twenty-eight crosses, twenty-one crosses showed negative and significant heterosis. The best five crosses were P3 X P4 (-25.37), P3 X P5 (-22.79), P3 X P7 (-21.60), P4 X P5 (-21.28) and P4 X P7 (-20.60). However, four crosses showed positive significant heterosis for days to maturity. For 1000 seed weight Standard heterosis ranged

from -18.64 percent (P2 X P7) to 31.04 percent (P4 X P7). Out of twenty-eight crosses, eight crosses showed positive and significant heterosis. The best five crosses were P4 X P7 (31.04), P2 X P4 (23.76), P1 X P4 (18.48), P3 X P5 (17.44) and P4 X P8 (17.44). Heterobeltiosis ranged from -19.57 percent (P3 X P7) to 22.21 percent (P3 X P4). Out of twenty-eight crosses, seven crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for 1000 seed weight. These results are in accordance with the findings of Singh et al. [19], Tele et al [20], Vaghela et al. [21] and Kumar et al. [22].

For biological yield (g) heterobeltiosis ranged from -17.30 percent (P4 X P6) to 17.86 percent (P4 X P5). Out of twenty-eight crosses, six crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for biological yield. Out of twenty-eight crosses, only three crosses showed positive and significant heterosis. The best crosses were P3 X P4 (22.75), P2 X P4 (19.13) and P1 X P4 (12.03). However, all the crosses showed either negative significance or non-significance for biological yield [23].

For harvest index, heterobeltiosis ranged from -16.98 percent (P2 X P6) to 13.44 percent (P2 X P7). Out of twenty-eight crosses, eight crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for harvest index. The best five crosses were P2 X P7 (13.44), P2 X P5 (11.95), P2 X P3 (11.13), P5 X P6 (9.84) and P3 X P8 (8.75). While, standard heterosis ranged from -29.37 percent (P4 X P6) to 29.50 percent (P1 X P6). The best five crosses were P1 X P6 (29.50), P6 X P7 (27.77), P1 X P4 (26.19), P3 X P6 (25.91) and P4 X P5 (23.20).

Oil content heterobeltiosis ranged from -3.66 percent (P3 X P7) to 3.99 percent (P1 X P3). Eleven crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for oil content. The best five crosses were P1 X P3 (3.99), P4 X P8 (3.53) P4 X P5 (3.52), P3 X P5 (3.19) and P2 X P6 (2.54). Out of twenty-eight crosses, seven crosses showed positive and significant heterosis. However, twenty crosses showed negative significant heterosis for oil content. Twelve crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for primary branches per plant. The best five crosses were P6 X P7 (18.58), P3 X P4 (16.05), P4 X P8 (15.18), P3 X P8 (12.54) and P2 X P6 (12.27). while, standard heterosis, twenty-two crosses showed positive and significant heterosis [16].

Table 1. Estimation of heterosis with difference diallel

Cross	DF			DM			TSW		
	MID.HET	BET.BET	STD.HET	MID.HET	BET.BET	STD.HET	MID.HET	BET.BET	STD.HET
P1XP2	-0.15 ns	-1.73 ns	3.39 *	2.19 NS	1.05 NS	0.66 NS	-1.74 NS	-5.81 **	6.40 **
P1XP3	0.81 NS	-7.25 **	-5.51 **	-0.67 NS	-12.15 **	-12.49 **	-0.13 NS	-13.20 **	-10.08 **
P1XP4	0.65 NS	-8.32 **	-6.60 **	3.11 *	-10.52 **	-10.87 **	-1.46 NS	-13.44 **	18.48 **
P1XP5	-0.13 NS	-6.42 **	-4.66 **	2.08 NS	-7.86 **	-8.22 **	-0.71 NS	-8.42 **	-5.12 **
P1XP6	-0.38 NS	-3.04 *	4.36 **	1.23 NS	-4.21 **	6.91 **	-0.34 NS	-9.19 **	-5.92 **
P1XP7	-0.53 NS	-10.34 **	-8.66 **	12.14 **	3.52 *	3.12 *	-1.21 NS	-10.48 **	14.16 **
P1XP8	0.09 NS	-0.83 NS	1.03 NS	1.51 NS	1.31 NS	1.31 NS	-0.83 NS	-2.55 NS	0.96 NS
P2XP3	0.76 NS	-8.64 **	-3.88 **	1.32 NS	-9.50 **	-11.87 **	-1.10 NS	-17.07 **	-6.32 **
P2XP4	1.25 NS	-9.10 **	-4.36 **	1.64 NS	-10.94 **	-13.26 **	-0.93 NS	-9.59 **	23.76 **
P2XP5	0.72 NS	-7.02 **	-2.18 NS	2.00 NS	-7.00 **	-9.42 **	-0.16 NS	-11.40 **	0.08 NS
P2XP6	0.23 NS	-0.90 NS	6.66 **	0.24 NS	-6.15 **	4.75 **	-0.93 NS	-13.10 **	-1.84 NS
P2XP7	-0.36 NS	-11.46 **	-6.84 **	1.44 NS	-5.37 **	-7.84 **	-1.33 NS	-6.96 **	18.64 **
P2XP8	0.53 NS	-1.96 NS	3.15 *	1.52 NS	0.20 NS	0.20 NS	-2.10 NS	-7.72 **	4.24 *
P3XP4	2.56 NS	1.44 NS	-13.19 **	-0.40 NS	-2.54 NS	-25.37 **	-0.19 NS	-22.21 **	6.48 **
P3XP5	1.03 NS	-0.93 NS	-11.79 **	-1.51 NS	-3.74 *	-22.79 **	0.68 NS	-5.67 *	-17.44 **
P3XP6	2.21 NS	-8.26 **	-1.26 NS	-2.04 NS	-17.41 **	-7.82 **	-0.15 NS	-5.26 *	-19.28 **
P3XP7	1.50 NS	-0.76 NS	-15.07 **	-2.54 NS	-7.01 **	-21.60 **	0.55 NS	-19.57 **	2.56 NS
P3XP8	2.43 NS	-4.95 **	-4.95 **	-0.07 NS	-11.77 **	-11.77 **	1.00 NS	-10.88 **	-10.88 **
P4XP5	0.36 NS	-2.65 NS	-13.32 **	2.57 NS	-1.85 NS	-21.28 **	-0.11 NS	-18.12 **	12.08 **
P4XP6	1.55 NS	-9.74 **	-2.85 *	-0.42 NS	-17.52 **	-7.94 **	-0.43 NS	-19.23 **	10.56 **
P4XP7	0.53 NS	-0.63 NS	-16.82 **	0.76 NS	-5.82 **	-20.60 **	-0.88 NS	-4.27 **	31.04 **
P4XP8	1.68 NS	-6.60 **	-6.60 **	2.07 NS	-11.56 **	-11.56 **	-0.84 NS	-14.20 **	17.44 **
P5XP6	-0.18 NS	-8.81 **	-1.85 NS	-0.84 NS	-14.79 **	-4.89 **	0.60 NS	-0.73 NS	-13.12 **
P5XP7	-0.28 NS	-4.35 **	-14.84 **	-1.92 NS	-4.30 **	-19.33 **	-1.26 NS	-16.75 **	6.16 **
P5XP8	0.63 NS	-4.89 **	-4.89 **	1.53 NS	-8.52 **	-8.52 **	-0.26 NS	-6.48 **	-6.48 **
P6XP7	-0.57 NS	-12.52 **	-5.84 **	-0.49 NS	-12.66 **	-2.52 NS	-2.37 NS	-18.57 **	3.84 *
P6XP8	0.66 NS	-2.91 *	4.50 **	-0.47 NS	-5.65 **	5.31 **	-1.51 NS	-8.80 **	-8.80 **
P7XP8	0.17 NS	-8.96 **	-8.96 **	-0.02 NS	-7.87 **	-7.87 **	-1.41 NS	-12.05 **	12.16 **

Cross	BY			HI			OC		
	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het
P1XP2	0.25 NS	-7.72 **	-5.07 **	-0.28 NS	-14.94 **	-11.83 **	1.67 NS	-0.75 NS	0.94 NS
P1XP3	0.02 NS	-10.62 **	-8.05 **	-0.16 NS	-5.54 **	-22.53 **	-0.25 NS	-3.99 *	-2.35 NS
P1XP4	-0.39 NS	-14.48 **	-12.03 **	0.22 NS	-0.43 NS	-26.19 **	-0.72 NS	-3.67 *	-2.03 NS
P1XP5	-1.76 NS	-3.18 *	2.56 NS	0.04 NS	-4.93 **	-22.76 **	-0.52 NS	-1.78 NS	-0.11 NS
P1XP6	-0.87 NS	-1.41 NS	2.55 NS	0.32 NS	-3.65 *	-29.50 **	2.38 NS	0.15 NS	1.86 NS
P1XP7	0.51 NS	-2.67 NS	0.13 NS	-1.04 NS	-4.19 **	-25.12 **	0.39 NS	0.18 NS	1.89 NS
P1XP8	0.54 NS	-0.87 NS	1.98 NS	0.64 NS	-12.86 **	-12.86 **	-1.54 NS	-2.37 NS	-0.70 NS
P2XP3	1.58 NS	-1.67 NS	-14.91 **	-0.77 NS	-11.13 **	-7.88 **	1.34 NS	-0.11 NS	-3.25 NS
P2XP4	0.90 NS	-6.54 **	-19.13 **	-0.21 NS	-14.42 **	-11.29 **	0.53 NS	-0.09 NS	-3.23 NS
P2XP5	-0.43 NS	-9.55 **	-4.19 **	-1.28 NS	-11.95 **	-8.73 **	-0.62 NS	-1.76 NS	-2.62 NS
P2XP6	-0.27 NS	-8.65 **	-4.98 **	0.62 NS	-16.98 **	-13.95 **	2.76 NS	2.54 NS	-0.26 NS
P2XP7	1.09 NS	-4.07 **	-7.56 **	-1.30 NS	-13.44 **	-10.28 **	1.89 NS	-0.34 NS	0.94 NS
P2XP8	-0.52 NS	-7.22 **	-7.22 **	-0.59 NS	-2.35 *	1.22 NS	1.26 NS	-0.33 NS	-0.33 NS
P3XP4	-0.17 NS	-4.63 *	-22.75 **	-1.23 NS	-5.98 **	-22.89 **	-0.18 NS	-1.00 NS	-5.30 **
P3XP5	-2.82 *	-14.25 **	-9.17 **	-2.16 NS	-2.62 NS	-20.13 **	-0.66 NS	-3.19 NS	-4.04 *
P3XP6	-2.42 NS	-13.22 **	-9.73 **	-0.83 NS	-9.67 **	-25.91 **	1.23 NS	-0.44 NS	-3.15 NS
P3XP7	0.19 NS	-7.80 **	-11.15 **	-1.07 NS	-3.40 *	-20.78 **	-0.10 NS	-3.66 *	-2.42 NS
P3XP8	-0.73 NS	-10.16 **	-10.16 **	0.27 NS	-8.75 **	-8.75 **	0.17 NS	-2.80 NS	-2.80 NS
P4XP5	-3.16 *	-17.86 **	-12.99 **	-1.14 NS	-5.46 **	-23.20 **	-1.81 NS	-3.52 *	-4.37 *
P4XP6	-3.23 *	-17.30 **	-13.98 **	-0.19 NS	-4.73 **	-29.37 **	0.47 NS	-0.37 NS	-3.08 NS
P4XP7	0.79 NS	-11.03 **	-14.26 **	-0.92 NS	-3.46 *	-24.56 **	-0.64 NS	-3.40 *	-2.16 NS
P4XP8	-1.64 NS	-14.54 **	-14.54 **	-0.41 NS	-13.29 **	-13.29 **	-1.38 NS	-3.53 *	-3.53 *
P5XP6	-2.69 *	-3.57 *	2.15 NS	-1.44 NS	-9.84 **	-26.75 **	2.13 NS	1.17 NS	0.28 NS
P5XP7	-0.97 NS	-5.44 **	0.17 NS	-2.98 *	-4.82 **	-22.68 **	0.12 NS	-0.95 NS	0.33 NS
P5XP8	-3.68 **	-6.37 **	-0.82 NS	-1.93 NS	-11.13 **	-11.13 **	-0.31 NS	-0.75 NS	-0.75 NS
P6XP7	-1.01 NS	-4.65 **	-0.82 NS	-0.74 NS	-7.57 **	-27.77 **	2.61 NS	0.58 NS	1.87 NS
P6XP8	-1.94 NS	-3.84 **	0.03 NS	0.28 NS	-16.07 **	-16.07 **	0.53 NS	-0.84 NS	-0.84 NS
P7XP8	-0.43 NS	-2.24 NS	-2.24 NS	0.15 NS	-10.80 **	-10.80 **	0.45 NS	-0.19 NS	1.09 NS

Cross	PB			PH			SB		
	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het
P1XP2	0.23 NS	-1.91 NS	16.31 **	2.11 NS	0.23 NS	0.23 NS	0.15 NS	-3.70 *	7.02 **
P1XP3	-0.90 NS	-5.78 **	19.93 **	0.93 NS	-0.90 NS	-0.90 NS	-0.43 NS	-8.57 **	-6.21 **
P1XP4	0.86 NS	-9.35 **	28.54 **	1.43 NS	0.86 NS	0.86 NS	-0.81 NS	-6.90 **	8.87 **
P1XP5	0.99 NS	-7.30 **	22.31 **	2.09 NS	0.99 NS	0.99 NS	-1.45 NS	-6.76 **	-4.35 *
P1XP6	-0.35 NS	-14.85 **	34.43 **	1.42 NS	-0.35 NS	-0.35 NS	-19.45 **	-29.68 **	-3.31 NS
P1XP7	1.85 NS	-5.42 **	6.80 **	0.55 NS	1.85 NS	1.85 NS	0.14 NS	-9.62 **	15.16 **
P1XP8	-0.03 NS	-7.02 **	4.98 *	3.60 *	-0.03 NS	-0.03 NS	1.04 NS	-0.24 NS	2.34 NS
P2XP3	-0.32 NS	-3.56 *	22.76 **	-0.39 NS	-0.32 NS	-0.32 NS	1.06 NS	-10.45 **	-0.48 NS
P2XP4	1.08 NS	-6.31 **	32.84 **	-1.44 NS	1.08 NS	1.08 NS	0.64 NS	-1.86 NS	14.76 **
P2XP5	0.99 NS	-3.43 *	27.41 **	1.42 NS	0.99 NS	0.99 NS	-2.11 NS	-10.74 **	-0.81 NS
P2XP6	-0.24 NS	-12.27 **	38.51 **	1.55 NS	-0.24 NS	-0.24 NS	1.72 NS	-8.04 **	26.45 **
P2XP7	0.52 NS	-6.11 **	11.33 **	-0.68 NS	0.52 NS	0.52 NS	2.37 NS	-4.18 **	22.10 **
P2XP8	-0.29 NS	-7.74 **	9.40 **	2.48 NS	-0.29 NS	-0.29 NS	-0.15 NS	-5.15 **	5.40 **
P3XP4	0.21 NS	-16.05 **	19.03 **	0.16 NS	0.21 NS	0.21 NS	0.08 NS	-13.24 **	1.45 NS
P3XP5	2.12 NS	0.69 NS	32.84 **	0.97 NS	2.12 NS	2.12 NS	-1.59 NS	-4.67 *	-12.74 **
P3XP6	-0.11 NS	-10.40 **	41.45 **	-0.06 NS	-0.11 NS	-0.11 NS	2.06 NS	-17.13 **	13.95 **
P3XP7	0.71 NS	-9.70 **	14.95 **	-0.92 NS	0.71 NS	0.71 NS	0.15 NS	-16.20 **	6.77 **
P3XP8	-0.31 NS	-12.54 **	11.33 **	2.23 NS	-0.31 NS	-0.31 NS	-0.35 NS	-7.42 **	-7.42 **
P4XP5	1.78 NS	-0.72 NS	40.77 **	0.89 NS	1.78 NS	1.78 NS	-0.97 NS	-11.72 **	3.23 NS
P4XP6	1.01 NS	-4.23 **	51.19 **	-0.15 NS	1.01 NS	1.01 NS	1.30 NS	-6.28 **	28.87 **
P4XP7	2.40 *	-12.86 **	23.56 **	-2.09 NS	2.40 *	2.40 *	1.12 NS	-3.04 *	23.55 **
P4XP8	1.02 NS	-15.18 **	20.27 **	2.26 NS	1.02 NS	1.02 NS	0.45 NS	-6.83 **	8.95 **
P5XP6	2.12 NS	-7.39 **	46.21 **	1.16 NS	2.12 NS	2.12 NS	0.99 NS	-15.89 **	15.65 **
P5XP7	1.96 NS	-9.87 **	18.91 **	1.44 NS	1.96 NS	1.96 NS	-0.18 NS	-14.24 **	9.27 **
P5XP8	1.85 NS	-11.16 **	17.21 **	2.94 *	1.85 NS	1.85 NS	-0.46 NS	-4.68 **	-4.68 **
P6XP7	0.56 NS	-18.58 **	28.54 **	-0.47 NS	0.56 NS	0.56 NS	2.59 *	-1.17 NS	35.89 **
P6XP8	0.13 NS	-19.23 **	27.52 **	1.53 NS	0.13 NS	0.13 NS	1.26 NS	-12.55 **	20.24 **
P7XP8	0.13 NS	-1.77 NS	0.68 NS	1.45 NS	0.13 NS	0.13 NS	0.28 NS	-10.51 **	14.03 **

Cross	SPS			TSPP			SMR		
	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het
P1XP2	3.49 *	2.34 NS	6.26 **	0.23 NS	-5.39 **	-5.01 **	-0.98 NS	-6.57 **	-24.25 **
P1XP3	3.01 *	-4.84 **	13.97 **	-0.90 NS	-4.47 **	-8.24 **	0.23 NS	-1.35 NS	-17.42 **
P1XP4	0.79 NS	-3.76 *	7.40 **	0.86 NS	-17.28 **	15.13 **	0.09 NS	-1.39 NS	-20.05 **
P1XP5	1.59 NS	-9.25 **	17.11 **	0.99 NS	-3.81 **	-5.25 **	0.29 NS	-4.17 **	-22.30 **
P1XP6	0.91 NS	-15.36 **	26.82 **	-0.35 NS	-4.88 **	-15.22 **	-0.43 NS	-3.15 *	-21.47 **
P1XP7	2.07 NS	-2.36 NS	8.54 **	1.85 NS	0.78 NS	-8.24 **	1.39 NS	-4.72 **	-12.16 **
P1XP8	1.77 NS	1.01 NS	2.54 NS	-0.03 NS	-5.46 **	-5.46 **	0.41 NS	-9.08 **	-9.08 **
P2XP3	0.92 NS	-5.80 **	12.82 **	-0.32 NS	-2.48 NS	-2.09 NS	0.14 NS	-6.91 **	-22.07 **
P2XP4	-0.03 NS	-3.51 *	7.68 **	1.08 NS	-13.00 **	21.08 **	-0.40 NS	-4.68 **	-25.00 **
P2XP5	-0.90 NS	-10.58 **	15.40 **	0.99 NS	0.04 NS	0.44 NS	0.00 NS	-1.32 NS	-27.10 **
P2XP6	-0.46 NS	-15.75 **	26.25 **	-0.24 NS	-9.87 **	-9.51 **	-0.45 NS	-3.53 *	-26.05 **
P2XP7	-1.16 NS	-4.42 **	6.26 **	0.52 NS	-4.16 **	-3.78 **	0.00 NS	-10.99 **	-17.94 **
P2XP8	0.06 NS	-1.79 NS	1.97 NS	-0.29 NS	-0.49 NS	-0.10 NS	-0.96 NS	-14.86 **	-14.86 **
P3XP4	0.00 NS	-3.41 *	15.68 **	0.21 NS	-15.32 **	17.86 **	0.05 NS	-2.96 NS	-18.77 **
P3XP5	0.33 NS	-3.28 *	24.82 **	2.12 NS	0.85 NS	-0.67 NS	1.19 NS	-4.75 **	-20.27 **
P3XP6	-0.63 NS	-10.60 **	33.96 **	-0.11 NS	-7.92 **	-11.56 **	0.09 NS	-4.13 **	-19.74 **
P3XP7	-0.56 NS	-4.13 **	14.82 **	0.71 NS	-1.90 NS	-5.78 **	0.64 NS	-3.99 **	-11.49 **
P3XP8	2.68 *	-5.80 **	12.82 **	-0.31 NS	-2.28 NS	-2.28 NS	0.53 NS	-7.66 **	-7.66 **
P4XP5	-1.48 NS	-8.15 **	18.54 **	1.78 NS	-13.09 **	20.96 **	2.07 NS	-1.05 NS	-22.15 **
P4XP6	-1.02 NS	-13.65 **	29.39 **	1.01 NS	-20.10 **	11.21 **	0.53 NS	-0.76 NS	-21.92 **
P4XP7	-0.76 NS	-0.95 NS	10.54 **	2.40 *	-15.30 **	17.88 **	0.88 NS	-6.51 **	-13.81 **
P4XP8	-0.11 NS	-5.30 **	5.68 **	1.02 NS	-13.20 **	20.81 **	-0.59 NS	-11.19 **	-11.19 **
P5XP6	-1.47 NS	-8.31 **	37.39 **	2.12 NS	-6.94 **	-8.33 **	0.95 NS	-0.88 NS	-24.02 **
P5XP7	0.59 NS	-6.37 **	20.82 **	1.96 NS	-1.90 NS	-3.37 *	1.36 NS	-8.71 **	-15.84 **
P5XP8	-0.49 NS	-11.69 **	13.97 **	1.85 NS	1.08 NS	1.08 NS	1.81 NS	-11.49 **	-11.49 **
P6XP7	-0.42 NS	-13.27 **	29.96 **	0.56 NS	-4.98 **	-13.49 **	0.22 NS	-8.22 **	-15.39 **
P6XP8	0.15 NS	-16.51 **	25.11 **	0.13 NS	-9.37 **	-9.37 **	0.04 NS	-11.64 **	-11.64 **
P7XP8	0.37 NS	-4.68 **	5.97 **	0.13 NS	-4.35 **	-4.35 **	0.55 NS	-3.38 **	-3.38 **

Table 2. Estimation of heterosis

Cross	SY		
	mid.het	bet.bet	std.het
P1XP2	-0.70 NS	-9.16 **	-17.49 **
P1XP3	-1.04 NS	-6.92 **	-29.87 **
P1XP4	-0.16 NS	-13.85 **	-35.09 **
P1XP5	-0.42 NS	-7.03 **	-19.23 **
P1XP6	0.14 NS	-3.36 *	-27.19 **
P1XP7	0.03 NS	-0.35 NS	-24.34 **
P1XP8	1.50 NS	-11.01 **	-11.01 **
P2XP3	-0.74 NS	-14.10 **	-21.97 **
P2XP4	-1.01 NS	-20.71 **	-27.98 **
P2XP5	-0.86 NS	-3.02 *	-11.91 **
P2XP6	0.79 NS	-10.73 **	-18.91 **
P2XP7	-1.99 NS	-10.03 **	-18.28 **
P2XP8	-0.50 NS	-5.06 **	-5.06 **
P3XP4	1.22 NS	-7.70 **	-38.72 **
P3XP5	-0.24 NS	-12.01 **	-23.55 **
P3XP6	-0.54 NS	-3.16 NS	-32.14 **
P3XP7	-0.78 NS	-7.01 **	-29.40 **
P3XP8	0.63 NS	-16.28 **	-16.28 **
P4XP5	-1.38 NS	-19.65 **	-30.19 **
P4XP6	-0.17 NS	-11.13 **	-37.72 **
P4XP7	-0.69 NS	-14.57 **	-35.14 **
P4XP8	0.95 NS	-21.92 **	-21.92 **
P5XP6	-0.57 NS	-10.19 **	-21.97 **
P5XP7	-1.23 NS	-7.46 **	-19.60 **
P5XP8	0.20 NS	-6.38 **	-6.38 **
P6XP7	-0.11 NS	-3.96 **	-27.08 **
P6XP8	0.87 NS	-14.23 **	-14.23 **
P7XP8	0.75 NS	-11.38 **	-11.38 **

DF- Days to 50% Flowering, DM- Days to Maturity, TSW- 1000 Seeds Weight (g), BY- Biological yield plant¹ (g), HI- Harvest index (%), OC- Oil Content (%), PB- Primary Branches/Plant, PH-Plant height (cm), SB- Secondary Branches/Plant, SPS- Seeds/ siliqua, TSPP- Total Number of Siliqua Per Plant, SMR- Siliquae on main raceme, SY- Seed Yield/plant(g)

For plant height, seven crosses showed negative and significant desirable heterosis, best five crosses were P6 X P7 (-5.56), P2 X P7 (-5.00), P3 X P6 (-4.48), P1 X P7 (-3.64) and P2 X P4 (-3.58). While, none crosses exhibited positive and significant desirable heterosis for plant height. Standard heterosis ranged from -7.67 percent (P3 X P7) to 4.06 percent (P1 X P8), best five crosses were P3 X P7 (-7.67), P4 X P7 (-7.28), P5 X P7 (-4.96), P3 X P5 (-4.68) and P3 X P4 (-4.44). However, four crosses showed positive significant heterosis for plant height.

Seventeen crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for number of secondary branches per plant, five crosses were significant, P1 X P6 (29.68), P3 X P6 (-17.41), P5 X P6 (-14.79), P6 X P7 (-12.66) and P1 X P3 (-12.15). Standard heterosis ranged from -26.45 percent (P2 X P6) to 35.89 percent (P6 X P7). The best five crosses were P6 X P7 (35.89), P4 X P6 (28.87), P4 X P7 (23.55), P2 X P7 (22.10) and P6 X P8 (20.24).

The similar results were also obtained by Dholu et al. [24], Surin et al. [25] for heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis. Whereas Kumbhalkar et al. [26], Nasrin et al. [27] for heterobeltiosis. While, Chaurasiya et al. [28] for standard heterosis. For seeds per siliqua heterobeltiosis ranged from -13.27 percent (P6 X P7) to 16.51 percent (P6 X P8). Out of twenty-eight crosses, nine crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for number of seeds per siliqua, whereas, standard heterosis ranged from -26.82 percent (P1 X P6) to 37.39 percent (P5 X P6).

Out of twenty-eight crosses, fourteen crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for total number of siliquae per plant. The best five crosses were P4 X P6 (20.10), P1 X P4 (17.28), P3 X P4 (15.32), P4 X P7 (15.30) and P4 X P8 (13.20). While for standard heterosis, the best five crosses were P2 X P4 (21.08), P4 X P5 (20.96), P4 X P8 (20.81), P4 X P7 (17.88) and P3 X P4 (17.86).

For siliqua on main raceme out of twenty-eight crosses, fourteen crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for siliqua on main raceme. For seed yield per plant, five crosses showed positive and significant desirable heterosis for seed yield per plant. The best five crosses were P3 X P8 (16.28), P2 X P3 (14.10), P1 X P4 (13.85), P2 X P6 (10.73) and P5 X P7 (7.46). While, twenty-one crosses exhibited negative and significant heterosis for seed yield

per plant. Standard heterosis ranged from -38.72 percent (P3 X P4) to 35.14 percent (P4 X P7). Earlier studies by Narsin et al. [27], Lal et al. [29], Niranjana et al. [30] and Chaurasiya et al. [28] also revealed heterosis in desirable direction for various characters in Indian mustard [31].

4. CONCLUSION

Overall, the analysis of heterosis in these diallel crosses indicates varying levels of heterosis across different traits, with several crosses demonstrating highly significant heterosis, which could be advantageous for breeding programs aiming to improve these traits. Considerable amount of heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis were observed for plant growth parameters, yield, and oil content related traits studied, however the degree of heterosis varied from cross to cross.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kapadia VN, Sasidharan N, Kalola AD. Estimation of heterosis for yield related traits for single cross hybrids of Brassica species. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(1):1766-1773.
2. Kumar P, Kumar P, Suniti Kumar U, Avni, Mann, A. Transcriptional Regulatory Network Involved in Drought and Salt Stress Response in Rice. In *Salinity and Drought Tolerance in Plants: Physiological Perspectives* Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.2023;237-274.
3. Kumar P, Kirti S, Krishnagowdu S. Effect of heat stress on a physio-biochemical characteristic of Brassica (Mustered) Species. *I I P Iterative International Publisher*. 2024;1:194-218.
4. Anonymous. Economic survey 2017–18. Government of India, Ministry of Finance

- Department of Economic Affairs Economic Division; 2018.
5. Shekhawat N, Sharma H, Chandrawat KS. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and its component traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2022;92(8):952-956.
 6. Rakow G. Developments in the breeding of edible oil in other Brassica species. In: Proc. 9th Int. Rapeseed Confr. U.K: Cambridge. 1995;401-406.
 7. Singh D. Genetic improvement in Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata* A. Braun) vis a vis Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss). In: Proc. 11th Int. Rapeseed Confr. Copenhagen: Denmark. 2003;513.
 8. Saini ML, Patel, Ram Chatra, Patel YN. Combining ability analysis for grain yield and its component for quantitative traits in Indian Mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss.]: Trends in biosciences. 2015;8(19):5330-5333.
 9. Kumar Arvind. Proceeding of 3rd national brassica conference held at IARI New Delhi on dated. 2017;1618:7
 10. Shull JH. Beginnings of the heterosis concept. In: J W Gowen (ed.), Heterosis, Ames: Iowa State College Press. 1952;14-48.
 11. Kumar P, Kirti S, Pankaj YK, Kumar R. Climate change takes down crop yield potential regarding abiotic stress- An overview. Hemlata Pant, Manoj Kumar Singh, D.K. Srivastava and Vandana Mathur (Edi.) New Approaches in Agricultural, Environmental and Nutritional Technology. 2018;2:9-17.
 12. Miller JF. Oilseeds and heterosis. In: Coors J G and Pandey S(ed.) The Genetics and Exploitation of Heterosis in Crops. Madison: ASA, CSSA, and SSSA. 1999; 399404.
 13. Allard RW. Principles of Plant Breeding. New York: J. Willey and Sons. Jinks, JL, Jones RM. Estimation of the components of heterosis. *Genetics*. 1958;43(2):223-234.
 14. Wang L, Zhang F, Fu T, Shen J. (A review: status and prospect of heterosis prediction in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Oil Crop Sci*. 2018;2(3):187-194.
 15. Xie F, Zha J, Tang H, Xu Y, Liu X, Wan Z. Combining ability and heterosis analysis for mineral elements by using cytoplasmic male-sterile systems in non-heading Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L.). *Crop Pas. Sci*. 2018;69:296-302.
 16. Kirti S, Kumar A, Sharma VK. Combining ability analysis for yield and component traits in baby corn. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11(9):2383-2386
 17. Griffing BRUCE. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences*. 1956;9(4):463-493.
 18. Matzinger DF, Mann TJ, Cockerham CC. Diallel crosses in *Nicotiana tabacum*; 1962.
 19. Singh M, Singh L, Srivastava SBL. Combining ability analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*. 2010;1(1):23-27.
 20. Tele RB, Patil SR, Lole MD, Khillari AV, Solanke PD, BaNSod SC. Genetic analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) through diallel mating. *Journal of Oilseed brassica*. 2014;5(1):55-60.
 21. Vaghela PO, Bhadauria HS, Thakor DM. Combining ability for seed yield and quality components in Indian mustard. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*. 2014;1(2): 45-47.
 22. Kumar R, Prasad Y, Kumar K. Combining ability for some development at traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Frontiers in Crop Improvement Journal*. 2015;3(1):43-45.
 23. Kumar P, Kumar P, Vashali SS, Tomar A. Evaluation of drought resistance indices and grain yield in basmati and non-basmati rice under water stress at reproductive stage. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11(9):2081-2085.
 24. Dholu VK, Sasidharan N, Suthar K, Bhushan B, Patel JN. Heterosis and combining ability in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *International Journal Agricultural Science*. 2014;10(1):102-107.
 25. Surin S, Kumar A, Kumari S, Kumar Y, Tuti A, Suman A. Heterosis for yield and its component in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss). *International Journal of Current and Applied Sciences*. 2018;7:3866-3871.
 26. Kumbhalkar DT, Kandrait DW, Vasudeo ND, Zodpey SP. Brief report. Risk factors for subclinical vitamin A deficiency in children under the age of 6 years. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics*. 2000;46(4):239-241.
 27. Nasrin S, Nur F, Nasreen K, Bhuiyan SR, Sarkar S, Islam M M. Heterosis and

- combining ability analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Bangladesh Research Publication Journal. 2011;6(1): 65–71.
28. Chaurasiya A, Dutta SK, Singh AK, Kumar S, Kohli A, Homa F, Laing AM. Layering smart management practices to sustainably maintain rice yields and improve water use efficiency in eastern India. *Field Crops Research*. 2022;275: 108341.
29. Lal K, Krishna R, Ali H, Kant R. Combining ability analysis for yield and its components in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Trends in Biosciences*. 2012;5(3):225–30.
30. Niranjana M, Akabari VR, Sasidharan N, Jadeja GC. (2014). Diallel analysis for yield and its contributing characters in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss]. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2014;5(2):197-202.
31. Banurlos GS, Dhillon KS, Banga SS. Oilseed Brassicas. *Biofuel Crops: Production, Physiology and Genetics*. 2013;339–368.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123096>