

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 13, Page 66-73, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.99799 ISSN: 2320-7035

Quality Evaluation of Ginger (*Zingiber* officinale Rosc.) Germplasms under New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal, India

Luwangshangbam James Singh ^{a*}, Anupam Pariari ^a, Souvick Banik ^a, Utpal Singha ^a, Dawan Arkini Challam ^b and Airish Pariari ^c

^a Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, BCKV, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal-741252, India.
^b Department of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, BCKV, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal - 741252, India.
^c Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar, Phagwara, Punjab - 144001, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i132988

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99799

> Received: 05/03/2023 Accepted: 09/05/2023 Published: 17/05/2023

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted at the Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, Faculty of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal, India during March-May, of 2020 and 2021. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the quality content of 18 ginger germplasm and to identify the most suitable promising genotypes under New alluvial zone of West Bengal. The result of the study shows that maximum essential oil content was obtained from the genotype Surabhi (1.65%) followed by Gorubathan (1.60%), Hui local (1.57%) and the lowest in Takeng local (0.88%). Highest oleoresin content was observed in the genotype Surabhi (7.88%) followed by Hui local (6.42%), Thinglaidum (6.34%) and the lowest was observed

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: luwangjameshort@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 13, pp. 66-73, 2023

in Meghalaya local (3.51%). Maximum dry recovery was recorded in the genotype Gorubathan (23.00%) followed by Surabhi (22.20%), Hui local (22.02%) and the minimum in Moran ada (16.62%). Maximum crude fibre content was recorded in the genotype Takeng local (7.58%) followed by Jorhat local (7.40%) and lowest was with Surabhi (4.49%). Further, the maximum moisture and ash content were observed in Thinglaidum (14.21%) and Moran ada (7.25%) respectively. Thus, the genotypes viz., Surabhi, Gorubathan, Hui local, Thingria, Thinglaidum and Nadia gave an overall appreciable amount of quality content and were considered to be most suitable promising genotypes for their quality content in New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal.

Keywords: Quality evaluation; ginger; Zingiber officinale; germplasms; New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.) is one of the well-known high-valued spice crops for its aroma, flavour and pungency due to the presence of essential oil and oleoresin content [1]. It belongs to the family Zingiberaceae and is native to humid tropical and subtropical forests of Southeast Asia [2]. Ginger is now commercially cultivated in tropical and subtropical countries in world like India, China, Indonesia, the Bangladesh etc. [3]. Ginger rhizome is commonly used in cuisines and medicines across the World either in a fresh, preserved, dry, powdered, or oil [4]. Ginger oil extracted from fresh ginger has a better fragrant flavour compared to oil extract from dry ginger and used in delicate flavours in food processing, pharmaceuticals and perfumery formulations [5]. Good quality of oleoresin can obtain from dried powdered ginger by solvent extraction using acetone [6]. The demand of ginger oil and oleoresins have been increasing both in national and international markets due to their extensively used in food and pharmaceutical industry since they are more stable, contaminant free, cleaner and easy to blend [7]. Despite the COVID-19 Pandemic, India has exported 15,31,154 t of spices with a total worth ≠30,576 crores during the period 2021-2022. Among the total exported, spices oils and oleoresin products contributed to the export with 21,921 t worth ≠4,478.38 crores [8].

Some important ginger value-added products available commercially are curry powder, pastes, sauces, bread, ginger tea [9], beverages [10], pickles [11], confectionery [12], candies, pastries and cakes [13]. Ginger has also been used in Unani, Ayurvedic and Chinese medicines for the treatment of various ailments such as indigestion and constipation, colds, arthritis, nausea, diarrhea, helminthiasis, cancer, hypertension etc, [14-16]. Ginger varieties with low fibre content and higher dry recovery are important criterion for assessing the suitability of specific products for making dry ginger [4]. Ginger rhizomes are a rich source of phytochemicals which includes terpenes, phenolic compounds, carbohydrates, lipids, organic acids and raw fibres. Terpene components of ginger includes zingiberene, βbisabolene, α -farnesene, β -sesquiphellandrene and α -curcumene which are known to be the main components of ginger essential oils [17]. The phenolic compounds gingerols (23-25%) and shogaol (18-25%) are responsible for the characteristic pungency of the ginger rhizome [18]. In addition to these compounds, ginger also possesses the following content viz., moisture (80.90%) water (9-12%), crude fibre (3-8%), protein (9%), ash (8%), phytosterols, minerals (1.2%) and vitamins [19,20]. The presents of these chemical compounds are determined by various factors like species, variety, maturity and processing conditions [21].

For commercial production, ginger with higher quality content is an important factor for increasing export income. however, the oil characteristics and quality contents vary with different cultivars, climatic conditions and agro techniques. There is a need for quality evaluation to identify the promising suitable genotypes with higher quality content under specific agroclimatic conditions. By keeping the above importance in view, the present investigation was studied to find out the most suitable genotypes performing better quality contents under New alluvial Zone of West Bengal.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted to evaluate the quality content among 18 ginger genotypes at the Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, Faculty of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal, India during March-May, of 2020 and 2021. The field experimental site is located at 23°5' North latitude and 89° East longitudes with an altitude of 9.75 m above which falls under the sub-tropical climatic zone of West Bengal. The genotypes under the study were namely Thingpuri, Banada local, Hui local, Tura local, Meghalaya Khasi local, local, Thingria. Thingpuidum local, Thinglaidum, Dibang takeng, Takeng local, Jorhat local, Moran ada, Tripura local and Nagaland local were local genotypes collected from one of most ginger diverse region of North Eastern states of India including varieties Nadia. Surabhi and Gorubathan were evaluated under the New alluvial zones of West Bengal with the application of recommended cultural practices and dose of fertilizers. Rhizomes were treated before planting in the field during end of April. Plant protection measures were also undertaken in the field. as and when necessary. The crop is harvested after 8-9 months of planting (February month) depend on variety or when the leaves turn yellow and start drying. Important quality parameters such as essential oil, oleoresin, dry recovery, crude fibre, moisture and ash content were estimated. Freshly harvested rhizomes are clean, sliced and dried in a hot air oven at 50°C temperature [22,23]. The estimation of different quality parameters from the sample of eighteen ginger genotypes were analysed chemically according to the method of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) [24].

2.1 Essential Oil (%)

Essential oil content on a fresh weight basis was obtained by steam distillation from freshly harvested rhizomes using Clevenger-type apparatus adopting standard procedure [25]. It was calculated according to the following formula.

Essential oil (%)
=
$$\frac{\text{Oil yield (ml)}}{\text{Weight of sample taken (g)}} \times 100.....(1)$$

2.1.1 Oleoresin (%)

Oleoresin content was estimated by Soxhlet apparatus per AOAC [26]. 5 g of powdered sample was refluxed with 125 ml of acetone then fitted Soxhlet flask along with condenser set and placed on the heating mantle at 40-60°C for about 3-4 h. Acetone extracted was transferred to pre-weighed beaker and the solvent was evaporated and weighed of the beaker including extract was recorded. The recovery of oleoresin was calculated and expressed in percentage by using the following formula.

Oleoresin (%)
=
$$\frac{\text{Weight of oleoresin (g)}}{\text{Weight of sample taken (g)}} \times 100.....$$
 (2)

Dry recovery (%): 100 g of freshly cleaned rhizomes were cut and dried in an oven at 50°C until a constant weight was obtained. The dry recovery percentage was worked out from the fresh rhizome weight of the sampled from the change in their weight after drying in an oven. It was calculated based on the following formula.

Dry recovery
$$(\%) =$$

$$\frac{\text{Dry weight of the rhizome after curing (g)}}{\text{Fresh weight of the rhizome (g)}} \times 100...... (3)$$

Crude fibre (%): 5 g of sample weight (W1) of powdered rhizome was taken into the fibre flask and added 100 ml of 0.255 N H₂SO₄. Heat the flask at the heating mantle and filtered through a fibre sieve cloth. The filtrate was discarded and residue was returned to the flask then added 100 ml of 0.313 M NaOH and heated again under reflux for 1 h. The mixture was filtered through a fibre sieve cloth and added 10 ml of acetone to dissolve any organic constituent. The residue was washed with 50 ml hot water twice on the sieve and transferred into the crucible. This crucible was oven dried at 105°C overnight to removed moisture. The oven-dried crucible containing ash was cooled in a desiccator and weighed to obtain W2. The difference W1-W2 gave the weight of fibre and were calculated using the following formula according to AOAC [27].

Fibre (%) =
$$\frac{W_1 - W_2}{Weight of sample(g)} \times 100.....$$
 (4)

Moisture content (%): 5 g of fresh sample were weighed and kept in a previously weighed crucible (W1) and kept overnight inside an oven at 100°C. Oven-dried samples were removed and transferred to the desiccator and cooled for 10 m and weighed (W3) thus, the moisture content was calculated by using the following formula [27].

Moisture (%) =
$$\frac{W_3 - W_0}{W_1 - W_0} \times 100.....$$
 (5)

Where, Wo=Weight of empty crucible, W1=Weight of crucible+sample, W3=Weight of crucible+oven-dried sample.

Ash content (%): 5 g of a fine powdered sample was taken into porcelain crucible and kept inside the muffled furnace at a temperature of 550° C for about four hours until the sample turned to white ash. Cool down the sample to 100° C in air then to room temperature in a desiccator and record the weighed. It was calculated by using the following formula [27].

 $= \frac{\text{Weight of ash}}{\text{Original weight of sample}} \times 100......(6)$

2.2 Statistical Analysis

The collected data from the experiment has been subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all quality parameters was performed by Gomez and Gomez [28] and the test of significance was carried out by referring to the standard "F" table suggested by Snedecor [29] at probability level of 0.05. The standard error of mean [S.Em (\pm)] and the value of critical difference (C.D.) to compare the difference means are provided in the tables of the results.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present experimental result on quality parameters from two-year pooled data of 18 ginger genotypes with different quality characters has been recorded and present in Table 1. It was observed that the essential oil content varied from 0.88%-1.65%. The highest essential oil content was obtained in Surabhi (1.65%) and followed by Gorubathan closely (control) (1.60%), Hui local (1.57%) Nadia (1.54%) and Thinglaidum (1.50%) while the lowest essential oil content was observed in Takeng local with 0.88%. The variation in essential oil content is conforming with Karthik et al. [30], who reported the range of essential oil content varied from 0.92% (Acc-239)-1.71% (Acc-701) under similar climatic conditions. However, this variation of essential oil content might be due to genetic factors of different genotypes from different places of collection. These findings were also supported by earlier studies [31-33].

Significant variation in oleoresin content among the genotypes were observed with the highest content recorded in Surabhi (7.88%) followed by Hui local (6.42%), Thinglaidum (6.34%) while the lowest was recorded in Meghalaya local (3.51%). Similar results were also reported by Chongtham et al. [34] and Datta et al. [35], where the genotype Surabhi recorded the maximum oleoresin content with 10.25% and 10.30% respectively under West Bengal conditions, while the genotypes Thinglaidum, Khasi local and Nadia shows 5.44%, 5.08% and 4.29% respectively under Nagaland conditions [36]. The Oleoresin content of Nadia variety with 6.40 % was also reported by Surendra et al. [37], Under rainfed conditions in Manipur the ginger cultivar Gorubathan and Nadia were reported to show 4.88% and 4.61% oleoresin content respectively [38]. Chakraborty et al. [33] also recorded the lower oleoresin content in Gorubathan genotype.

Among the evaluated genotypes the maximum dry recovery was recorded in Gorubathan (control) (23.00%) followed by Surabhi (22.20%) and Hui local (22.02 %) and the minimum was found in Moran ada (16.62%). Chongtham et al. [34] obtained the maximum drv recoverv in Sambuk (33.48%) followed by IISR Mahima (32.23%), Gorubathan (31.85%) and the lowest was obtained with IISR Rejatha (27.97%) under same West Bengal condition but Sasikumar et al. [39] reported that IISR Mahima and IISR Rejatha recorded dry recovery of 21.12 and 20.81%, respectively under Kerela condition. Datta et al. [35] also obtained dry recovery of (20.60%), (23.45%) and (20.30%) with Suprabha, Suravi and Gorubathan respectively. It was also reported that maximum dry recovery was recorded in GCP-49 (21.70 %) while the lowest was found in Gorubatha (17.22 %) under West Bengal conditions [33]. Such variation in dry recovery may be due to the present of their different composition and textural properties among these genotypes. The results of this variation in dry recovery have also been reported by previous studies [32,40].

The analysis result of crude fibre content from evaluated genotypes showed that the highest crude fibre content was recorded in genotype Takeng local (7.59%) closely followed by Jorhat local (7.40%) and Hui local (7.26%) while the lowest was found in genotype Surabhi (4.49%) followed by Nadia (4.76%), Khasi local (4.91%) and Tura local (5.22%). Singh et al. [36] reported that maximum fibre content was found in the cultivar Nadia with 9.37% where the genotypes Tura (5.46%), Thinglaidum (4.53%) and Khasi local (4.52%) were reported significantly less under Nagaland condition. Another study showed that crude fibre content was recorded highest in Thinglaidum (7.03%), Thingpuri (5.93%), Tura (5.25%) and lowest in Nadia (4.35%) under Assam condition [41]. Maximum crude fibre

Germplasm	Essential oil (%)	Oleoresin (%)	Dry recover (%)	Crude fibre (%)	Moisture (%)	Ash (%)
Thingpuri	1.33	5.42	19.69	6.85	12.83	4.64
Banada local	1.20	5.83	20.20	6.94	12.37	5.58
Hui local	1.57	6.42	22.02	7.26	13.06	6.85
Tura local	1.25	4.89	18.65	5.22	12.67	4.00
Khasi local	1.46	4.11	19.93	4.91	11.76	5.50
Meghalaya local	1.15	3.51	19.14	5.69	11.53	5.31
Thingria	1.49	6.01	21.51	6.12	13.32	4.99
Thingpuidum	1.38	4.93	20.32	6.31	11.83	4.74
local						
Thinglaidum	1.50	6.34	21.80	7.10	14.23	4.41
Dibang takeng	1.49	6.24	17.37	6.56	11.26	5.22
Takeng local	0.88	4.46	19.30	7.59	11.44	7.07
Jorhat local	1.28	5.02	20.46	7.40	12.06	6.20
Moran ada	0.98	3.73	16.62	5.92	9.89	7.25
Tripura local	1.09	3.89	18.97	6.76	10.56	4.94
Nagaland local	1.40	4.48	17.75	6.48	11.67	5.77
Nadia	1.54	4.64	20.95	4.76	12.28	6.52
Surabhi	1.65	7.84	22.20	4.49	9.75	5.96
Gorubathan	1.60	5.57	23.00	6.07	10.98	5.40
(Control)						
S.Em (±)	0.043	0.062	0.230	0.073	0.138	0.065
CD at 5%	0.074	1.333	2.271	0.204	1.760	0.279

 Table 1. Performance of ginger germplasm for its quality parameters under New alluvial Zone

 of West Bengal (Pooled data of two years)

content was observed in Manipur local (7.68%) followed by Gorubathan (6.17%), Bhaisey (5.71%) and lowest in Nadia (5.17%) under Manipur condition [38]. Chakraborty et al. [33] also observed a maximum crude fibre content in GCP-49 (5.30%) while the lower crude fibre content in Gorubathan (4.46%).

The maximum moisture content among the genotypes was recorded in Thinglaidum (14.23%) followed by Thingria (13.32%), Hui local (13.06%) and Thingpuri (12.83%) while, the minimum moisture content was found in genotypes Surabhi (9.75%) followed by Moran ada (9.89%) and Tripura local (10.56%). This with findina is comparable Saikia and Shadeque [39], who reported the moisture content was recorded in genotypes Thinglaidum (14.50%), Thingpuri (12.50%), Tura (12.80%), Nadia (12.50%) and minimum moisture content was found in Moran (9.50%) under Assam condition. Further, the highest Ash content was observed in Moran ada (7.25%) followed by Takeng local (7.07%) and Hui local (6.85%) compared to the lowest was obtained from the genotypes Tura local (4.00%) and Thinglaidum (4.41%). The results indicate that the Ash content of the ginger germplasms was low as compared to the earlier study [41]. In another

study, it was observed that mature ginger rhizomes produced higher ash content of 7.48 % while tender ginger rhizomes produced lesser ash content of 3.80% [42]. Such evidence of variation quality parameters in under this present study is might be due to the different inherent genotypic characteristics of each germplasm, different agro-climatic conditions and cultural practices have a profound influence on determining the quality characteristics of ginger. Similar results were also observed in ginger [32,43].

4. CONCLUSION

The present study can be concluded that the genotypes viz., Surabhi, Gorubathan, Hui local, Thingria, Thinglaidum and Nadia showed a better performance and gave an appreciable higher amount of good quality content especially with essential oil oleoresin and dry recovery. Whereas, Takeng local, Moran ada and showed Meghalava local lower quality content. Therefore, the former genotypes with better performance can be considered as a most suitable promising genotypes for improving quality production and processing under New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are grateful to the Department of Plantation, Spice, Medicinal & Aromatic Crops, BCKV, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal, India for providing the necessary facilities and support to carry out this study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Akshitha HJ, Umesha K, Leela NK, Shivakumar MS, Prasath D. Quality attributes and essential oil profiling of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) genotypes from India. Journal of Essential Oil Research. 2020;32(5):456-463.
- Kumar KMP, Asish GR, Sabu M, Balachandran I. Significance of gingers (Zingiberaceae) in Indian system of medicine-Ayurveda: An overview. Ancient Science of Life. 2013;32:253-261.
- Haiping W. Introductory chapter: Studies on ginger. In: Haiping, W. (Ed.). Ginger Cultivation and Its Antimicrobial and Pharmacological Potential. IntechOpen, 2020;1-5. Available:https://www.intechopen.com/cha
- pters/69831.
 4. Vasala PA. Ginger. In: Peter KV. Handbook of Herbs and Spices (2nd Edn., Vol. 1). Woodhead Publishing, Elsevier. 2012;319-335.
- 5. Indiarto R, Subroto E, Angeline Selly. Ginger rhizomes (*Zingiber officinale*) functionality in food and health perspective: A review. Food Research. 2020;5(1):497-505.
- Kanadea R, Bhatkhandeb DS. Extraction of ginger oil using different methods and effect of solvents, time, temperature to maximize yield. International Journal of Advances in Science Engineering and Technology. 2016;4(3):240-243.
- Alam P. Densitometric HPTLC analysis of 8-gingerol in *Zingiber officinale* extract and ginger containing dietary supplements, teas and commercial creams. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. 2013;3(8): 634-638.
- Anonymous. Export data. Spice Board of India; 2022. Accessed 22nd January, 2023.

Available:http://www.indianspices.com/site s/default/files/Major_Item_wise_Export_20 22.pdf.

- Devi TB, Dash SK, Bal LM, Sahoo NR. Physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of ginger paste (cv. Suprabha) during storage in different packaging and temperature conditions. Cogent Food and Agriculture. 2016;2(1): 1223261.
- Estiasih T, Ahmadi K, Purwantiningrum I. Determination of critical processing parameters during instant ginger drink production in small scale industry. Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science. 2017;5(3).
- Ravi Y, Narayanpur VB, Hiremath JS, Ravi JP, Shantappa T. Evaluation of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) genotypes for growth and yield attributes under soppinabetta ecosystem of Karnataka. Environment and Ecology. 2017;35(1B): 454-457.
- Saiah W, Halzoune H, Djaziri R, Tabani K, Koceir EA, Omari N. Antioxidant and gastroprotective actions of butanol fraction of *Zingiber officinale* R. against diclofenac sodium-induced gastric damage in rats. Journal of Food Biochemistry. 2017;42: e12456.
- Nath A, Bidyut C, Deka AK, Paul JD, Misra LK. Effect of slice thickness and blanching time on different quality attributes of instant ginger candy. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2013;50(1):197-202.
- Arablou T, Aryaeian N. The effect of ginger (*Zingiber Officinale*) as an ancient medicinal plant on improving blood lipids. Journal of Herbal Medicine. 2018;12: 11-15.
- Abdel-Daim MM, El-Tawil OS, Bungau SG, Atanasov AG. Applications of antioxidants in metabolic disorders and degenerative diseases: Mechanistic approach. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. 2019; 2019:1-3.

DOI: 10.1155/2019/4179676.

- Nair KP. Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) and Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.)-World's Invaluable Medicinal Spices: The agronomy and economy of turmeric and ginger. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, 2019;525. ISBN 978-3-030-29188-4.
- 17. Yeh H, Chuang C, Chen H, Wan C, Chen T, Lin L. Bioactive components analysis of two various gingers (*Zingibero fficinale* Rosc.) and antioxidant effect of ginger

extracts. LWT-Food Science and Technology. 2014;55:329-334.

 Prasad S, Tyagi AK. Ginger and its constituents: Role in prevention and treatment of gastrointestinal cancer. Gastroenterology Research and Practice. 2015;2015:1-11.

DOI https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/142979.

- 19. Shukla Y, Singh M. Cancer preventive properties of ginger: A brief review. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2007;45(5):683-690.
- Mahboubi M. Zingiber officinale Rosc. essential oil, A review on its composition and bioactivity. Clinical Phytoscience. 2019;5:6.
 DOI https://doi.org/10.1186/s40816-018-
- 0097-4.
 21. Ali BH, Blunden G, Tanira MO, Nemmar A. Some phytochemical, pharmacological and toxicological properties of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.): A review of recent research. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2008;46(2):409-420.
- Huang B, Wang G, Chu Z, Qin L. Effect of oven drying, microwave drying, and silica gel drying methods on the volatile components of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) by HS-SPME-GC-MS. Drying Technology. 2012;30(3):248-255.
- 23. Sangwan A, Kawatra A, Sehgal S. Nutritional composition of ginger powder prepared using various drying methods. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2014;51(9):2260-2262.
- Latona DF, Oyeleke GO, Olayiwola OA. Chemical Analysis of Ginger Root. IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry. 2012;1(1): 47-49.
- Anonymous. Officials Methods of Analysis (12th Edn.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), Washington, DC. 1975: 506-510.
- Anonymous. Official Methods of Analysis (13th Edn.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), Washington, DC. 1980;2-63.
- Anonymous. Official Methods of Analysis (15thEdn.). Association of Analytical Chemistry (AOAC), Washington DC. 1990;104.
- Gomez K, Gomez A. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Ed. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken. 1983; pp-630.
- 29. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods 6th Edn. The Iowa state university press, IOWA, USA. 1967;593.

- Karthik CS, Venugopal S, Pariari A. Evaluation of Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) germplasm for its quality in Gangetic alluvial plains of West Bengal. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017;9(9):3951-3953.
- 31. Ratnambal MJ, Gopalam A, Nair MK. Quality evaluation in ginger (*Zingiber* officinale Rosc.) in relation to maturity. Journal of Plantation Crops. 1987;15:108-117.
- 32. Sanwal SK, Singh SK, Yadav RK, Singh PK, Misra AK. Yield and quality assessment of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) genotypes. Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources. 2012;25(3): 281-286.
- Chakraborty S, Datta S, Debnath A, Roy MK. Evaluation of some important ginger genotypes in terai region of West Bengal. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology. 2018;7(2): 715-722.
- Chongtham T, Chatterjee R, Hnamte V, Chattopadhyay PK, Khan SA. Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) germplasm evaluation for yield and quality in southern West Bengal. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2013;22(1):88-90.
- 35. Datta S, Chatterjee R, Chattopadhyay PK. Quality assessment of ginger cultivars grown under subtropical humid region of West Bengal. Indian Agriculturist. 2003;47: 147-150.
- 36. Singh PP, Singh VB, Singh HP, Rajan S. Genetic diversity in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rose.) with reference to essential oil content. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2000;9(2):161-164.
- Surendra BM, Prasanna KB, Swami DV, Krishna UK, Emmanuel N. Quality of Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) genotypes under shade net condition of coastal Andhra Pradesh. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(7):696-700.
- 38. Jyotsna N, Ghosh DC, Meitei WI. Study of growth, yield and quality of organically grown ginger varieties under rainfed condition of Manipur. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2012;8(1):17-21.
- Sasikumar B, Saji KV, Antony A, George JK, John ZT, Eapen SJ. IISR Mahima and IISR Rejatha two new high yielding quality ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2003;12:34-37.

Singh et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 13, pp. 66-73, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.99799

- Kumari A, Das S, Ramesh E, Babu YM, Lepcha TO. Effect of different drying methods and drying time on the sliced ginger rhizomes (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021; 10(10):486-489.
- 41. Saikia L, Shadeque A. Yield and quality of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) varieties grown in Assam. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 1992;1(2):131-135.
- 42. Bhati D, Raghuvanshi RS. Nutritional analysis of raw and processed ginger and its products. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1): 05-07.
- 43. Rajani B, Korla BN, Neerja R. Evaluation of ginger clones for quality attributes for Himachal Pradesh. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2007;36(1&2): 198-199.

© 2023 Singh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99799